arXiv:1210.4781v1 [math.AG] 17 Oct 2012

FINITE MORPHISMS BETWEEN PROJECTIVE VARIETIES AND SKELETA

JOHN WELLIAVEETIL

Abstract. In this paper we study finite morphisms between irreducible projective varieties in terms of the morphisms they induce between the respective analytifications. The background for the principal result is as follows. Let V' and V be irreducible, projective varieties over an algebraically closed, non-archimedean valued field k and ϕ be a finite morphism $\phi : V' \to V$. Let $x \in V^{\mathrm{an}}(L)$, where L/k is an algebraically closed complete non-archimedean valued field extension. We associate canonically to x an L-point of the space $(V \times_k L)^{\mathrm{an}}$ which lies on the fiber over x and denote this point x_L. The embedding of V into some n-dimensional projective space defines in a natural way a family of open neighbourhoods $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ in $(V \times_k L)^{\mathrm{an}}$ of x_L. Each element of this family is parametrized by an $(n+1)^2$-tuple which quantifies its size. Of particular interest to us will be those elements O of the set $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ whose preimage for the morphism $(\phi \times \mathrm{id}_L)^{\mathrm{an}}$ decomposes into the disjoint union of homeomorphic copies of O via $(\phi \times \mathrm{id}_L)^{\mathrm{an}}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{x_L} \subset \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ denote the sub collection of elements of this form. Theorem 1.3 shows that there exists a deformation retraction of the space V^{an} onto a finite simplicial complex such that along the fibers of the retraction the "size"of the largest element belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{x_L}$ is constant.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 5
2.1. Berkovich spaces 5
2.2. Model Theory 11
3. A Technical result 13
4. Proof of the Main Theorem 16
5. The Equivalence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 20
References 22

Acknowledgments: Firstly, I would like to thank my advisor Professor François Loeser for his support and guidance during this period of work. I would also like to thank Ariyan Javanpeykar, Giovanni Rosso and Yimu Yin for their suggestions and comments. I am grateful to Jerome Poineau whose suggestions extended the scope of the principal results and improved the quality of the paper. This research was funded by the ERC Advanced Grant NMNAG.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study finite morphisms between irreducible projective varieties over non-archimedean valued fields in terms of the morphisms they induce between the analytifications of the varieties. As topological spaces the analytifications are Hausdorff, compact and pathwise connected. The theorem we prove implies that the induced morphism when viewed as a continuous map between topological spaces admits a certain uniform behaviour. Before stating the theorem in full generality, we provide its motivation by considering the case of a finite endomorphism of the projective line.

Let k be an algebraically closed, complete non-archimedean valued field whose value group $|k^*|$ contains atleast two elements. Let $\mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ be the Berkovich analytification of the projective line $\mathbb{P}_k^1$. The analytification $\mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ allows us to use the valuative topology provided by the field to study an algebraic endomorphism. For a point $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^1(k) \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$, we have the notion of a Berkovich closed disk or Berkovich open ball centred at x within the space $\mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$, namely the analytification of the `naive´closed or open disk around x contained in k. By the naive closed (open) disk around $x \in k$ of radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we mean the set $\{y \in k | |y-x| \leq r\}$ ($\{y \in k | |y-x| < r\}$).

Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be a finite morphism. For a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension L/k, let ϕ_L denote the morphism

$$\phi \times \mathrm{id}_L : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(L).$$

The analytification of a k-variety of finite type (cf. Section 2.1) is functorial and hence endomorphisms of the projective line will induce endomorphisms of its analytification. That is, the morphism ϕ induces a morphism

$$\phi^{\mathrm{an}} : \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}.$$

The morphism ϕ_L^{an} is similarly defined and it is to be noted that $\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}} = \phi^{\mathrm{an}} \times \mathrm{id}_L^{\mathrm{an}}$.

Our reason for introducing the morphism ϕ_L for a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension L/k is to deal with all points of the analytification of the projective line over k and not just those points for which $\mathcal{H}(x) = k$ (cf. 2.1.1). When discussing the points of the analytification of a k-variety, we will make use of the description outlined in Section 2.1. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}(L)$. Then x corresponds to a morphism $x : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$. Formally, the L-point $x : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is the image of $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ for the morphism $\pi : \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$ (cf. 2.1). The pair $x : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$ and $\mathrm{id}_L : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to \mathrm{Spec}(L)$ defines a closed point of the variety $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(L)$ which we denote x_L.

We now introduce the theorem concerning finite endomorphisms of the projective line over k.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be a finite morphism. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ and L/k be any complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension of k such that $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}(L)$. Let $f(x)$ be the minimum of 1 and the radius of the largest Berkovich open ball B around $x_L \in \mathbb{P}_L^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ whose preimage under ϕ_L^{an} is the disjoint union of homeomorphic copies of B via ϕ_L^{an}. The function $f : \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is well defined. There exists a finite simplicial complex $\Upsilon \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$, a real interval $I := [i, e]$ and a deformation retraction*

$$\psi : I \times \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$$

such that $\psi(e, \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}) = \Upsilon$ and the function f is constant on the fibers of this retraction.

Our first goal is to generalize this theorem to the case of finite morphisms between irreducible, projective varieties. A problem standing in the way of any attempt at a generalisation is that there is no intrinsic notion of an open disk in V^{an} if V is a projective k-variety of finite type. However as V is projective, there exists a closed immersion $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We identify V with its image under the closed immersion. The space $\mathbb{P}_k^{n,\mathrm{an}}$ can be canonically equipped with a finite formal cover (Section 4.3, [B]) such that each element of this cover is isomorphic to the n-dimensional Berkovich closed disk $\mathcal{M}(k\{T_1,....T_n\})$. Let $\{A_i\}_i$ denote this cover. The intersection of the elements of the formal cover with the image of the immersion $V^{\mathrm{an}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{n,\mathrm{an}}$ defines a formal cover of the space V^{an}, namely $\{A_i \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}\}_i$. Furthermore, for a non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension L/k the construction extends to the analytic space $(V_L)^{\mathrm{an}} := (V \times_k L)^{\mathrm{an}}$.

Let L/k be a non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension and $x \in V^{\mathrm{an}}(L)$. By this we mean that the image of x for the morphism $\pi : V^{\mathrm{an}} \to V$ (cf. Section 2.1) is an L-point of V. We abuse notation and refer to this image as x as well. As before, the pair $x : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to V$ and $\mathrm{id} : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to \mathrm{Spec}(L)$ defines an L-point $x_L \in V \times_k L$. Let $x_L \in A_{i,L}$ for some i. Associated to the point $x_L \in V_L(L) \subset \mathbb{P}^n_L(L)$ is a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods in V_L^{an}, namely the intersection of the Berkovich open balls around x_L contained in $A_{i,L}$ and the space V_L^{an}. We denote this family of open neighbourhoods $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$. Let $G \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$. For every j such that x_L belongs to $A_{j,L}$, G is equal to the intersection of a Berkovich open ball $B_{G,j}$ in $A_{j,L}$ and the analytic space V_L^{an}. In this sense the family $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ is does not depend on the element of the formal cover x_L belongs to. We set $\mathcal{O}_L := \cup_{x \in V(L)} \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$. To an element W of this family we associate an $(n+1)^2$-tuple. That is, we define a function $h_L : \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}$. If for an indice t, $x_L \in A_{t,L}$ then let $\mathbf{r}_t = (r_0, ..., r_{n+1})$ be such that $r_t = 1$ and the Berkovich open ball $B_{W,t}$ is defined by the equations $|(T_j/T_t - x_{j,L}/x_{t,L})(p)| < r_j$ for $j \neq t$. If on the other hand x_L does not belong to $A_{t,L}$ then let $\mathbf{r}_t = (0, ...0)$. Let $h_L(W) := (\mathbf{r}_i)_i$. Our discussion in Section 2.1.3 will show that the open neighbourhood G and the function h_L are independent of the extension L. Note that the sets $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ will depend on the embedding of the variety into projective space.

In the case of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ with $x \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(L)$, for the embedding $\mathrm{id} : \mathbb{P}^1_k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k$, the set $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ associated to the construction above will be the collection of Berkovich open balls around x_L contained in $\mathbb{P}_L^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ of radius less than or equal to one while the value of the function $h_L(O)$ for any $O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ will be its radius.

We now introduce a class of functions from $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{(n+1)^2}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which we denote S. Let $g \in S$ if and only if

(1) If $(r_{i,j})_{i,j}$ and $(s_{i,j})_{i,j}$ are $(n+1)^2$-tuples such that $r_{i,j} \leq s_{i,j}$ then $g((r_{i,j})_{i,j}) \leq g((s_{i,j})_{i,j})$.
(2) g is a definable function (in the model theoretic sense).

Let $g \in S$. By Lemma 2.7, the function $g \circ h_L : V(L) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ has the following property. If $O_1, O_2 \in \mathcal{O}_L$ such that $O_1 \subseteq O_2$ then $(g \circ h_L)(O_1) \leq (g \circ h_L)(O_2)$.

We now provide an equivalent form of Theorem 1.1 which we generalize. We begin by motivating the reformulation. The goal of Theorem 1.1 is to prove the existence of a finite simplicial complex contained in $\mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ so that the function f is constant along the fibers of the retraction morphism $\psi(e, \_)$. Let us assume that Theorem 1.1 is true. We define a function $M : \Upsilon \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ as follows. Let $\gamma \in \Upsilon$ and $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ be any point for which $\psi(e, x) = \gamma$. We set $M(\gamma) := f(x)$. Let L/k be any complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension such that $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}(L)$. By definition $M(\gamma)$ is the minimum of 1 and the radius of the largest Berkovich open ball in $\mathbb{P}_L^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ around x_L whose preimage is the disjoint union of homeomorphic copies of itself for the morphism ϕ_L^{an}. The function M is well defined since we assumed Theorem 1.1 is true. Hence a suitable restatement of 1.1 is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1_k \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be a finite morphism. There exists a real interval $I := [i, e]$ and a deformation retraction*

$$\psi : I \times \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$$

which satisfies the following properties.

1. *The image* $\psi(e, \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}})$ *of the deformation retraction* ψ *is a finite simplicial complex. Let* Υ *denote this finite simplicial complex.*
2. *There exists a well defined function* $M : \Upsilon \to [0,1]$ *which satisfies the following conditions. Let* $\gamma \in \Upsilon$ *and* $x \in \psi(e, \_)^{-1}(\gamma)$ *be a point for which* $M(\gamma) > 0$*. Let* L/k *be any complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension such that* $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}(L)$*. Then the following are true.*
   - (a) *The preimage under the morphism* ϕ_L^{an} *of the Berkovich open ball* $B(x_L, M(\gamma)) \subset \mathbb{P}_L^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ *around* x_L *of radius* $M(\gamma)$ *decomposes into the disjoint union of Berkovich open balls each homeomorphic to* $B(x_L, M(\gamma))$ *via the morphism* ϕ_L^{an}*.*
   - (b) *Let* O *be any other Berkovich open ball around* x_L *whose radius is less than or equal to* 1 *such that its preimage under the morphism* ϕ_L^{an} *decomposes into the disjoint union of Berkovich open balls each homeomorphic to* O *via* ϕ_L^{an}*. Then the radius of* O *must be less than or equal to* $M(\gamma)$*.*

We will show that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent in Section 5. We now state a generalisation of Theorem 1.2. Let $\phi : V' \to V$ be a finite morphism between irreducible, projective varieties of finite type over k. For a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension L/k, let ϕ_L denote the morphism

$$\phi \times \mathrm{id}_L : V \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to V \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(L).$$

As before, we write $\phi^{\mathrm{an}} : V'^{\mathrm{an}} \to V^{\mathrm{an}}$ for the induced morphism between the respective analytifications. The morphism ϕ_L^{an} is similarly defined and it is to be noted that $\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}} = \phi^{\mathrm{an}} \times \mathrm{id}_L^{\mathrm{an}}$. The theorem will be stated in terms of the sets $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ and the functions h_L and g described above.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\phi : V' \to V$ *be a finite morphism between irreducible, projective varieties. Let* $g \in S$*. There exists a real interval* $I := [i, e]$ *and a deformation retraction*

$$\psi : I \times V^{\mathrm{an}} \to V^{\mathrm{an}}$$

which satisfies the following properties.

1. *The image* $\psi(e, V^{\mathrm{an}})$ *of the deformation retraction* ψ *is a finite simplicial complex. Let* Υ_g *denote this finite simplicial complex.*
2. *There exists a well defined function* $M_g : \Upsilon_g \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ *which satisfies the following conditions. The function* M_g *is not identically zero. Let* $\gamma \in \Upsilon_g$ *be a point on the finite simplicial complex for which* $M_g(\gamma) \neq 0$ *and* $x \in \psi(e, \_)^{-1}(\gamma)$*. Let* L/k *be any complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension such that* $x \in V^{\mathrm{an}}(L)$*. There exists* $W_{x_L} \in (g \circ h_L)^{-1}(M_g(\gamma)) \cap \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ *such that the open set* $(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(W_{x_L}) \subset V_L'^{\mathrm{an}}$ *decomposes into the disjoint union of open sets, each homeomorphic to* W_{x_L} *via* ϕ_L^{an}*. Furthermore, let* $O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ *be such that its preimage under* ϕ_L^{an} *decomposes into the disjoint union of open sets in* $V_L'^{\mathrm{an}}$*, each homeomorphic to* O *via the morphism* ϕ_L^{an}*. Then* $(g \circ h_L)(O) \leq M_g(\gamma)$*.*

It is worth mentioning that the result stated above when applied to smooth Berkovich analytic curves over a field of characteristic zero bears some similarity with theorems proved in [PP] and [Bal]. In [Bal], the author - F. Baldassarri studies a system of differential equations defined over an analytic domain of the affine line over a non-archimedean valued field of characteristic zero. More precisely, let k be a non-archimedean field of characteristic zero and X be a relatively compact analytic domain of the affine line $\mathbb{A}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$. Let

$$\Sigma : dy/dT = Gy$$

be a system of linear differential equations where G is a $\mu \times \mu$ matrix of k-analytic functions on X. If $x \in X$ is a k-rational point, let $R(x) = R(x, \Sigma)$ denote the radius of the maximal open disk in X with center at x on which all solutions of Σ converge. The author shows that the function R is continuous. Also, he illustrates how when $X = \mathbb{A}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ there exists a finite graph $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{A}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ which *controls* the behaviour of the function R. Since $\mathbb{A}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ retracts to any of its finite subgraphs, this means that the function R is constant along the fibers of the retraction on Γ. If one preserves the restrictions on the field k and considers the case of a system of differential equations defined over a smooth Berkovich curve instead then a similar result holds true. In [PP], the authors - Poineau and Pulita prove that associated to a system of differential equations over a smooth Berkovich curve, there exists a locally finite graph contained in the curve and a retraction of the curve onto it such that the radius of convergence function is constant along the fibers of the retraction. The result we prove in this paper and the results of Poineau-Pulita and Baldassari show that the behaviour of certain functions of interest are controlled by finite simplicial complexes associated to them.

The goal of this paper is to prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 2 we will discuss results and various concepts from Model Theory and the theory of Berkovich spaces which we will require as well as construct the set $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ for any $x \in V(L)$ where L/k is a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension. Relying strongly on Section 2, we will prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Berkovich spaces.** Let V be an irreducible, projective k-variety. By k-variety we mean a separated k-scheme of finite type. Associated functorially to V is a Berkovich analytic space V^{an}. We examine this notion in more detail.

Let X be a scheme which is locally of finite type over k. Let $k - \mathrm{an}$ denote the category of k-analytic spaces (1.2.4, [B2]), *Set* denote the category of sets and $Sch_{lft/k}$ denote the category of schemes which are locally of finite type over k. We define a functor

$$F : k - \mathrm{an} \to Set$$
$$Y \mapsto Hom(Y, X)$$

where $Hom(Y, X)$ is the set of morphisms of k-ringed spaces. The following theorem defines the space X^{an}.

Theorem 2.1. *(3.4.1,*[B]*) The functor F is representable by a k-analytic space X^{an} and a morphism $\pi : X^{\mathrm{an}} \to X$. For any non-archimedean complete field K extending k, there is a bijection $X^{\mathrm{an}}(K) \to X(K)$. Furthermore, the map π is surjective.*

The associated k-analytic space X^{an} is *good* by which we mean that for every point $x \in X^{an}$ there exists a neighbourhood of x isomorphic to an affinoid space. Theorem 2.1 implies the existence of a well defined functor

$$()^{\mathrm{an}} : Sch_{lft/k} \to good\ k - \mathrm{an}$$
$$X \mapsto X^{\mathrm{an}}.$$

As a set X^{an} is the collection of pairs $\{(x, \eta)\}$ where x is a scheme theoretic point of X and η is a valuation on the residue field $k(x)$ which extends the valuation on the field k. We endow this set with a topology as follows. A pre-basic open set is a set of the form $\{(x,\eta) \in U^{\mathrm{an}} || f(\eta)| \in W\}$, where U is an open subvariety of X with $f \in O_X(U)$, W is an open subspace of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}0$ and $|f(\eta)|$ is the image of f in the residue field $k(x)$ evaluated at η. A basic open set is any set which is equal to the intersection of a finite number of pre-basic open sets.

The description above implies that every point of the analytification X^{an} is associated to a complete non-archimedean algebraically closed valued field extension L/k and a k-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(L) \to X$. We explore this idea further. Let $x_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(L_1) \to X$ and $x_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(L_2) \to X$ be a pair of k-morphisms where L_1/k and L_2/k are complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extensions. We set $x_1 \sim x_2$ if there exists a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension M of both L_1 and L_2 and a k-morphism $x_3 : \mathrm{Spec}(M) \to X$ such that

$$x_3 = x_i \circ (\mathrm{Spec}(M) \to \mathrm{Spec}(L_i))$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and where $\mathrm{Spec}(M) \to \mathrm{Spec}(L_i)$ is induced by the inclusion $L_i \hookrightarrow M$.

We have the following equality of sets.

$$X^{\mathrm{an}} = \{\cup_{L/k} Hom_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(L), X)\}/\sim . \tag{1}$$

The union in the above equation is taken over all complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extensions L of k. Using (1), the morphism $\pi : X^{\mathrm{an}} \to X$ which appears in the statement of Theorem 2.1 can be defined explicitly as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : X^{\mathrm{an}} &\to X \\ [x : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to X)] &\mapsto x : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to X \end{aligned}$$

where $[x : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to X)]$ denotes the equivalence class of the point x for the relation $\sim$ defined above.

Example 2.2. If $V = \mathbb{A}^n_k$ then as a set V^{an} is simply the collection of multiplicative semi-norms on the polynomial ring $k[T_1, ...T_n]$ which restrict to the given valuation on k. We use $\mathbb{A}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$ to denote the analytification of affine n-space over k. Similarly, as a set the analytification of a Zariski closed subset of $\mathbb{A}^n_k$ whose ring of regular functions is $k[T_1, ...T_n]/I$ is the collection of multiplicative semi-norms on $k[T_1, ...T_n]/I$ which restrict to the given valuation on k.

We now discuss the notion of the reduction map associated to a k-affinoid space. The reduction map and the $\widetilde{k}$-scheme associated to an affinoid space will be of use when discussing formal covers in the following subsection.

2.1.1. *The Reduction Morphism.* Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative ring with unity. A non-archimedean seminorm on $\mathcal{A}$ is a function $|.| : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $|0| = 0$, $|1| = 1$ and if $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ then $|f - g| \leq \max\{|f|, |g|\}$ and $|f.g| \leq |f|.|g|$. A semi norm is called a norm if for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $|a| = 0$ then $a = 0$. If $|.|$ is a norm on $\mathcal{A}$ such that $|f.g| = |f|.|g|$ then it is called a valuation.

Let $|.|$ be a norm on the ring $\mathcal{A}$. The pair $(\mathcal{A}, |.|)$ is a Banach ring if $\mathcal{A}$ is complete for the norm $|.|$. When there is no ambiguity concerning the norm on $\mathcal{A}$ we suppress notation and refer to the Banach ring as just $\mathcal{A}$. A bounded homomorphism of Banach rings $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism of rings with the additional property that there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have the inequality $|\phi(a)|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C.|a|_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach ring. We define its spectrum $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ to be the set of bounded multiplicative semi norms on $\mathcal{A}$ provided with the weakest topology such that for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ the real valued function of the form $|.| \to |f|$ is continuous (1.2, [B]). A bounded homomorphism of commutative Banach rings $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ will induce a continuous morphism $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$.

If $x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$ then we write $|f(x)|$ for the value of f at x. Let $\mathcal{H}(x)$ denote the completion of the field of fractions of $\mathcal{A}/(\mathrm{Ker}(x))$ with respect to the norm induced on this quotient by x.

The set $\mathcal{A}^\circ := \{x \in \mathcal{A} | |x| \leq 1\}$ is a complete sub ring of $\mathcal{A}$ in which $\mathcal{A}^{\circ\circ} := \{x \in \mathcal{A} | |x| < 1\}$ is an ideal. We will set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}^\circ/\mathcal{A}^{\circ\circ}$. A bounded homomorphism of Banach rings $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ will induce a morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Note that if $\mathcal{A}$ were a field then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a field as well.

For every $x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$, we have the map $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/(\mathrm{Ker}(x))$ which is bounded and hence induces a bounded homomorphism of Banach rings $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}(x)$. This in turn defines a morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{H}(x)}$. We have in fact defined a map $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ which we call the reduction map and denote by π. Explicitly stated,

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$$
$$x \mapsto \ker(\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{H}(x)}).$$

For a k-affinoid space $X := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ where B is a k-affinoid algebra, we will use $\tilde{X}$ to denote the $\tilde{k}$-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})$. Concerning the reduction map in the case of strict k-affinoid algebras (2.1, [B]) we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *(2.4.4,* [B]*) (2.3.6,* [B3]*). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a strict k-affinoid algebra. Set $X := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$, $\tilde{X} := \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$, and let $\tilde{X}_{gen}$ be the set of generic points of the irreducible components of the scheme $\tilde{X}$. Furthermore, if $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ then let $|f|_{\sup} := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})} |f(x)|$. With this notation, the following statements are true.*

1. *The reduction map $\pi : X \to \tilde{X}$ is surjective.*
2. *For any $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}_{gen}$, there exists a unique point $x \in X$ with $\pi(x) = \tilde{x}$. If $|\mathcal{A}|_{\sup} = |k|$ then there is an isomorphism $\tilde{k}(\tilde{x}) \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{H}(x)}$.*
3. *The set $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{gen})$ is the Shilov boundary of X.*
4. *The pre-image of an open (resp. closed) subset of $\tilde{X}$ is closed (resp. open) under the morphism π.*

2.1.2. *Formal Covers.* In this section we define for any projective k-variety V, the $\tilde{k}$-scheme $\tilde{V}$ and the reduction map $V^{\mathrm{an}} \to \tilde{V}$. One way of doing so would be to use an affinoid covering of the space V^{an} and `glue´the $\tilde{k}$-schemes arising from each element of the covering. However in order for the gluing to make sense, we must be restrictive in our choice of covering. It is to this end that we introduce the notion of a formal cover of a separated k-analytic space.

Definition 2.4. [B] An affinoid domain W in a k-affinoid space X is said to be *formal* if the induced morphism $\tilde{W} \to \tilde{X}$ is an open immersion.

The definition that follows is stated for a separated k-analytic space which is discussed in detail in [B2]. The analytification of a k-variety is an example of a separated k-analytic space. We will be interested only in this case.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a separated k-analytic space. An affinoid covering $\{W_i\}$ is *formal* if the W_i are strict k-affinoid spaces and for any W_i, W_j belonging to the cover, $W_i \cap W_j$ is a formal affinoid subdomain of both W_i and W_j.

Let X be a separated k-analytic space provided with a formal cover $\mathfrak{W} := \{W_i\}$. Gluing the $\tilde{W}_i$ defines a $\tilde{k}$-scheme $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{W}}$ which is reduced and of finite type. Furthermore, the reduction map $\pi : W_i \to \tilde{W}_i$ for each element of the covering extends to a map $\pi : X \to \tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{W}}$.

Our notation $\tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{W}}$ was to specify the importance of the choice of cover involved in defining the scheme $\tilde{X}$. In what follows we will suppress the sub script and simply write $\tilde{X}$.

The following theorem ensures that if X is a projective k-variety of finite type then it always admits a formal cover. We first prove the theorem when $X = \mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$ for some n. The result in this case is obtained by exploiting the standard chart associated to $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let V be a projective k-variety. Let L/k be a complete non-archimedean valued field extension. There exists a finite formal cover $\mathfrak{W}_L$ of V^{an}_L. The collection $\{\mathfrak{W}_L\}_L$ has the following property. Let L_1/k and L_2/k be complete non-archimedean valued field extensions such that $L_1 \subset L_2$. If $\mathfrak{W}_{L_1} = \{D_i\}_i$ then $\mathfrak{W}_{L_2} = \{D_i \times_{L_i} L_2\}_i$.*

Proof. In what follows we will explicitly construct the formal cover $\mathfrak{W}_k$. It can be verified that if L/k is a complete non-archimedean valued field extension then extending scalars for each element of the cover $\mathfrak{W}_k$ by L defines a formal cover $\mathfrak{W}_L$.

We begin by considering the case when $V = \mathbb{P}^n_k$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The analytification of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$ can be described in a fashion reminiscent of the ˋproj´construction in the theory of schemes (2.3.3, [L]).

Consider the k-algebra $k[T_1, ...T_{n+1}]$. Let S denote the set of all multiplicative semi norms on this algebra which restrict to the standard norm on the field k such that if $x \in S$ then $|T_i(x)| \neq 0$ for some i. We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on S as follows.

$$x \sim y \iff \text{There exists } c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \text{ such that for any homogenous}$$
$$f \in k[T_1, ..T_{n+1}], |f(x)| = c^{deg(f)}|f(y)|.$$

The set $S/\sim$ can be endowed with a topology in a natural fashion ([Ba]) so that it becomes a compact, Hausdorff topological space. We proceed further and give $S/\sim$ the structure of a k-analytic space. Let

$$A_j := \{x \in S \| T_r(x)| \leq |T_j(x)| \text{ for every } 1 \leq r \leq n+1\}.$$

Observe that if $a \in A_j$ and $a \sim b$ then $b \in A_j$. We will abuse notation and denote $A_j/\sim$ by A_j as well. It follows that $S/\sim = \cup_j A_j$. Furthermore, for any $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, A_j is in bijection with the set of multiplicative semi norms on $k[T_1/T_j, ., T_{n+1}/T_j]$ which when evaluated at (T_i/T_j) for any possible choice of i is less than or equal to 1. But this is exactly the set of all multiplicative semi norms on the affinoid algebra $\mathcal{B}_j := k\{T_1/T_j, ., T_{n+1}/T_j\}$ which restrict to the given valuation on k. Infact we have a homeomorphism

$$\delta_j : A_j \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_j).$$

Consequently, the collection $\cup_{i,j}\{A_i, A_i \cap A_j\}$ forms a net (1.1, [B2]) of compact sets on the space $S/\sim$. If $i \neq j$ then $A_j \cap A_i$ is isomorphic to the affinoid domain

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{ji}) := k\{T_1/T_j, ..T_i/T_j, (T_i/T_j)^{-1}, ., T_{n+1}/T_j\}$$

and we use δ_{ij} to denote this isomorphism. Note that $\mathcal{B}_{ji} = \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ and the isomorphisms δ_{ij} and δ_{ji} are the same. Also, δ_{ji} is simply the restriction of δ_j to the subspace $A_j \cap A_i$. The triple $(S/\sim, \cup_{j,i}\{\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_{ji}\}, \cup_{i,j}\{A_j, A_j \cap A_i\})$ is hence a k-analytic space (pg 17, [B2]) which is isomorphic as an analytic space to $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$.

This description of $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$ enables us to see it as the union of $n+1$ affinoid domains, each isomorphic to the n-dimensional Berkovich closed polydisc of polyradius $(1, ..., 1)$. We claim that $\{A_j\}$ is a formal cover of $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$.

Since

$$k\{T_1/T_j, ..T_i/T_j, \widetilde{(T_i/T_j)}^{-1}, ..T_{n+1}/T_j\} = \tilde{k}[T_1/T_j, ..T_i/T_j, (T_i/T_j)^{-1}, ..T_{n+1}/T_j],$$

the $\tilde{k}$-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{ji} = \tilde{k}[T_1/T_j, ..T_i/T_j, (T_i/T_j)^{-1}, ..T_{n+1}/T_j]$ corresponds to an open affine sub scheme of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_j)}$ and $\widetilde{A_j \cap A_i}$ is an open affine subset of $\tilde{A}_j$. We conclude that our claim is verified, thus proving the proposition for the case $V = \mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$.

In the general case we make use of the fact that V is projective and hence can be seen as a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ for some n. Furthermore for every j, δ_j restricts to an isomorphism

$$\delta_j : V^{\mathrm{an}} \cap A_j \to \mathcal{M}(k\{T_1/T_j, ..T_i/T_j, ..T_{n+1}/T_j\}/I_j)$$

where I_j is an ideal contained in the polynomial ring $k[T_1/T_j, ..T_{n+1}/T_j]$ and determined by the embedding of V into $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. We claim that the set $\{V^{\mathrm{an}} \cap A_j\}$ will be a formal cover of the space V^{an}.

Consider the affinoid space $V^{\mathrm{an}} \cap A_i \cap A_j$. As above, δ_{ji} restricts to an isomorphism

$$\delta_{ji} : V^{\mathrm{an}} \cap A_i \cap A_j \to k\{T_1/T_j, ..T_i/T_j, (T_i/T_j)^{-1}, ..T_{n+1}/T_j\}/I_j.$$

Observe that $\mathrm{Spec}(k\{T_1/T_j, ..T_i/T_j, \widetilde{(T_i/T_j)}^{-1}, ..T_{n+1}/T_j\}/I_j)$ is an open affine sub scheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(k\{T_1/T_j, ..\widetilde{T_i/T_j}, ..T_{n+1}/T_j\}/I_j)$. We conclude that our claim holds true.

For a complete non-archimedean valued field extension L/k, it can be checked that $\mathfrak{W}_L := \{V^{\mathrm{an}}_L \cap (A_j \times_k L)\}_j$ forms a formal cover of V^{an}_L. □

The topological subspace $A_j \subset \mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$ is endowed with the structure of a k-affinoid space via the homeomorphism δ_j. Hence we will refer to it in future as an affinoid domain in $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$ and identify it with the strict affinoid space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_j)$.

2.1.3. *Defining the class of open sets $\mathcal{O}_L$ for a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension L/k.* Let L/k be a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension. Let V be a projective k-variety and $x \in V(L)$ be an L-point of the variety. As outlined in the introduction, the pair $x : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to V$, $\mathrm{id}_L : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to \mathrm{Spec}(L)$ defines a closed point of the variety $V_L = V \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(L)$ which we denote x_L. We proceed as outlined in the introduction.

The variety V admits an embedding into $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. Hence we begin by defining the family $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ when $V = \mathbb{P}^n_k$ and $x \in \mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k(L)$. In Proposition 2.6 we showed that the space $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$ can be seen to be the union of $n+1$ n-dimensional Berkovich closed disks defined over k. We denoted this collection $\{A_i\}_i$. Likewise $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_L = \cup_i A_{i,L}$ where $\{A_{i,L} := A_i \times_k L\}_i$ forms a collection of $n+1$ n-dimensional Berkovich closed disks defined over L. For some j, we must have that $x_L \in A_{j,L}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ be the family of Berkovich open balls containing x_L and contained in $A_{j,L}$. Each such Berkovich open ball centered at x_L will be in bijection with an n-tuple of positive real numbers less than or equal to 1. We proceed below in greater detail making clear along the way that the family $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ is independent of the $A_{j,L}$ for which $x_L \in A_{j,L}$.

In the proof of Proposition 2.6, we introduced the following notation concerning the $A_{j,L}$.

$$A_{j,L} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{j,L})$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_j := k\{T_1/T_j, ..T_i/T_j, ..T_{n+1}/T_j\}$$

and $\mathcal{B}_{j,L} := \mathcal{B}_j \hat{\times}_k L$. The affinoid space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{j,L})$ is an n-dimensional Berkovich closed disk over L.

The point $x_L \in \mathbb{P}^n_L$ is a closed point defined over L. Let it have coordinates $[x_{0,L} : ... : x_{n+1,L}]$ and i be any indice such that $x_{i,L} \neq 0$. By definition of the space $A_{i,L}$, x_L must belong to it. Using the fact that $A_{i,L} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{i,L})$ we define a family of open neighbourhoods of x_L, namely the collection of Berkovich open balls defined by the equations $|(T_j/T_i - x_{j,L}/x_{i,L})(p)| < r_j$, where $j \neq i$ and $r_j \leq 1$. If t is another indice for which $x_{t,L} \neq 0$ then $x_L \in A_{t,L}$ and any such Berkovich open sub ball of $A_{i,L}$ will also be contained in $A_{t,L}$.

To be more precise, let $\mathbf{r}_i := (r_1, .., r_i, .., r_{n+1})$ be an $n+1$-tuple for which $r_i = 1$ and $r_h \leq 1$ for any other indice h. Then $\mathbf{r}_i$ defines a Berkovich open sub ball of $A_{i,L}$ around the point x_L defined by the equations $|(T_j/T_i - x_{j,L}/x_{i,L})(p)| < r_j$ for $j \neq i$. Let B denote this open subspace of $A_{i,L}$ for the time being. If t is such that $x_{t,L} \neq 0$ then it can be checked that $B \subset A_{t,L}$ and further that B is a Berkovich open subball of $A_{t,L}$. By this we mean that there exists $\mathbf{r}_t := (r_1, .., r_i, ...r_{n+1}) \in (0,1)^{n+1}$ such that $r_t = 1$ and $B = \{p | \wedge_{j \neq t}[|(T_j/T_t - x_{j,L}/x_{t,L})(p)| < r_j]\}$. It can be shown that as B varies through all the Berkovich open sub balls of $A_{i,L}$ which contain x_L, it also varies through all Berkovich open sub balls of $A_{s,L}$ which contain x_L for any s such that $x_{s,L} \neq 0$. Hence, we may define the family $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ to be the collection $\{B(x_L, \mathbf{r}_i)\}$ for any i such that $x_{i,L} \neq 0$ and where $\mathbf{r}_i := (r_1, .., r_i, ...r_{n+1})$ is any $n+1$-tuple for which $r_i = 1$ and $r_h \leq 1$ for any other indice h. Let $\mathcal{O}_L := \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{P}^n_k(L)} \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$.

To an element W of this family we associate an $(n+1)^2$-tuple. That is we define a function $h_L : \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}$. If for an indice t, $x_{t,L} \neq 0$ then let $\mathbf{r}_t = (r_0, ...r_{n+1})$ be such that $r_t = 1$ and the Berkovich open ball W is defined by the equations $|(T_j/T_t - x_{j,L}/x_{t,L})(p)| < r_j$ for $j \neq t$. If on the other hand $x_{t,L} = 0$ then let $\mathbf{r}_t = (0, ...0)$. Let $h_L(W) := (\mathbf{r}_i)_i$. In the introduction we introduced a family of functions $\mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$ which we denoted S. By definition, a function g belongs to S if and only if

1. If $(r_{i,j})_{i,j}$ and $(s_{i,j})_{i,j}$ are $(n+1)^2$-tuples such that $r_{i,j} \leq s_{i,j}$ for every i, j then $g((r_{i,j})_{i,j}) \leq g((s_{i,j})_{i,j})$.
2. g is a definable function (in the model theoretic sense).

Lemma 2.7. *Let L/k be an algebraically closed complete non-archimedean valued field extension and $g \in S$. Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ belong to $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$. The following inequality holds true.*

$$(g \circ h_L)(\mathcal{O}_1) \leq (g \circ h_L)(\mathcal{O}_2).$$

Proof. In the course of the proof we will make use of this fact: Since the field L is algebraically closed and endowed with a non-trivial valuation, its value group is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let $h_L(\mathcal{O}_1) := (\mathbf{r}_0, ..., \mathbf{r}_{n+1})$ and $h_L(\mathcal{O}_2) := (\mathbf{r}'_0, ..., \mathbf{r}'_{n+1})$. If $\mathbf{r}_t = (r_{0,t}, ...r_{n+1,t})$ and $\mathbf{r}'_t = (r'_{0,t}, ...r'_{n+1,t})$ then we claim that for every i, t, $r_{i,t} \leq r'_{i,t}$. We proceed by assuming the contrary. If for some t there exists an i such that $r_{i,t} > r'_{i,t}$ then we can find an element $y_i \in L$ such that $r'_{i,t} < |(y_i/x_t - x_i/x_t)| < r_{i,t}$. The element $[x_0 : .. : y_i : .. : x_{n+1}]$ will belong to $\mathcal{O}_1$ but not $\mathcal{O}_2$. This is not possible and we must hence have $r_i \leq r'_i$. Our choice of the function g implies that the inequality $(g \circ h_L)(\mathcal{O}_1) \leq (g \circ h_L)(\mathcal{O}_2)$ holds. ☐

If V is an arbitrary projective k-variety then V^{an} is isomorphic to a closed analytic subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_k$ for some n. We identify V^{an} with this analytic subspace. Let $x \in V(L) \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k(L)$. We defined a family of open neighbourhoods of x_L in $\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_L$ which we call $\mathcal{O}_{x_L,\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_L}$ for the time being. Restricting every element of this family to V^{an}_L will define the family $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ of open neighbourhoods of x_L in V^{an}_L. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{x_L} = \{W \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}_L | W \in \mathcal{O}_{x,\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_L}\}$. For any such $W \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}_L \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ where $W \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L,\mathbb{P}^{n,\mathrm{an}}_L}$, we set $h_L(W \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}_L) := h_L(W)$. The following lemma implies that the family of open neighbourhoods $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ for $x \in V(L)$ does not depend on the extension L/k.

Lemma 2.8. *Let L'/k and L/k be complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extensions such that $L \subseteq L'$. Let $x \in V(L)$. We have the following* *equality of sets.*

$$\mathcal{O}_{x_{L'}} = \{O\times_L L' | O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}\}.$$

Furthermore, if $O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ *then* $h_{L'}(O\times_L L') = h_L(O)$.

Proof. It can be inferred from the discussion above concerning the family $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ that it suffices to prove the lemma assuming $V = \mathbb{P}^n_k$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x \in \mathbb{P}^n_k(L)$ then there exists exactly one point on the fiber over x_L for the projection morphism $\mathbb{P}^n_L \times_L L' \to \mathbb{P}^n_L$ and $(x_L)_{L'} = x_{L'}$. We assume without loss of generality that $x_L \in A_{i,L}$ with homogenous coordinates $[x_{0,L} : ... : x_{n+1,L}]$. If $x_{L'}$ has homogenous coordinates $[x_{0,L'} : ... : x_{n+1,L'}]$ then $x_{j,L}/x_{i,L} = x_{j,L'}/x_{i,L'}$ for all j.

Let $O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$. By definition, O must be of the form $\{p \in A_{i,L} | \wedge_j |(T_j/T_i - x_{j,L}/x_{i,L})(p)| < r_j\}$. It follows that $O\times_L L' = \{p \in A_{i,L'} | \wedge_j |(T_j/T_i - x_{j,L'}/x_{i,L'})(p)| < r_j\}$ which is an element of $\mathcal{O}_{x_{L'}}$. Hence $\{O\times_L L' | O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{x_{L'}}$ and $h_{L'}(O\times_L L') = h_L(O)$.

Let $O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_{L'}}$. By definition, O must be of the form $\{p \in A_{i,L'} | \wedge_j |(T_j/T_i - x_{j,L'}/x_{i,L'})(p)| < r_j\}$. Using the equality $x_{j,L}/x_{i,L} = x_{j,L'}/x_{i,L'}$, the image of this open set under the projection morphism $A_{i,L}\times_L L' \to A_{i,L}$ is of the form $O_0 := \{p \in A_{i,L} | \wedge_j |(T_j/T_i - x_{j,L}/x_{i,L})(p)| < r_j\}$. It follows that $O_0\times_L L' = O$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{x_{L'}} = \{O\times_L L' | O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}\}$. ☐

2.2. **Model Theory.**

In this section we will briefly discuss a Theorem of Hrushovski and Loeser which is central to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The theorem which was proved in [HL] involves considerable use of Model theory [P]. In the following section we will make clear how the theorem implies a deep result about certain Berkovich analytic spaces. The first chapter of the paper [HL] is devoted to introducing the basic notions of Model theory (Language, Theory, Model, Structure, type, definable type....) which we will assume the reader is familiar with and proceed to outlining the general framework within which the theorem is stated.

We will use the many sorted language L_G consisting of the sorts VF, Γ and k for the valued field, the value group and the residue field with the ring, abelian group and residue field language respectively as well as the geometric sorts S_n and T_n for $n \geq 1$ (2.6, [HL]). The theory $ACVF$ of Algebraically closed valued fields admits both elimination of imaginaries and elimination of quantifiers in the language L_G.

To make sense of Theorem 2.8 one must understand the concept of a stably dominated type (Definition 2.5.2,[HL]). Let A be some substructure of $\mathbb{U}$ where $\mathbb{U}$ denotes a large saturated model of $ACVF$. In the theory $ACVF$, an A-definable type is stably dominated if and only if it is Γ-orthogonal (Proposition 2.8.1, [HL]). We now define a Γ-orthogonal type.

Definition 2.9. Let A be a substructure of $\mathbb{U}$ and p be an A-definable type. Then p is said to be Γ-*orthogonal* if for any model M containing A and a realization a of $p_{|M}$, we have that $\Gamma(dcl(M \cup a)) = \Gamma(M)$ where $dcl(M \cup a)$ denotes the definable closure of the set $M \cup \{a\}$.

Let V be a quasi-projective variety over a non-archimedean valued field. Let $X \subset V \times \Gamma^l_\infty$ be an A-definable set where $A \subset VF \cup \Gamma_\infty$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. For any substructure C containing A, we define $\hat{X}(C)$ to be the set of C-definable stably dominated types which concentrate on X (3.2, [HL]). We briefly explain how the set $\hat{X}$ can be given a topology.

As a set $\widehat{V \times \Gamma^l_\infty} = \hat{V} \times \Gamma^l_\infty$ (3.4,[HL]). We endow $\hat{V}$ with a topology by defining a collection of pre-basic open sets. Any basic open set is the intersection of a finite number of pre-basic open sets. A pre-basic open set of $\hat{V}$ is of the form $\{p \in \hat{O} | \mathrm{val}(f)_*(p) \in W\}$ where $O \subset V$ is an open subspace of V, f a regular function on O and W an open subset of Γ_∞. The notation $\mathrm{val}(f)_*(p)$ requires some explanation. Firstly $\mathrm{val}(f)$ denotes the composition $O \to VF \to \Gamma_\infty$. As f is regular, $\mathrm{val}(f)$ is definable. $\mathrm{val}(f)_*(p)$ is a definable type in Γ_∞ defined by $d_{\mathrm{val}(f)_*(p)}(\phi(z,y)) = d_p(\phi(\mathrm{val}(f)(x),y))$. It is in fact a stably dominated type on Γ_∞ and is hence constant (2.7.1, [HL]). The set $\hat{V} \times \Gamma_\infty^l$ can be given the product topology and we let X have the subspace topology. Like the space V^{an}, the k-points of the variety $V(k)$ can be embedded into $\hat{V}(k)$ which is the set of stably dominated k-definable types which concentrate on V if we give $\hat{V}(k) \subset \hat{V}$ the topology generated by the k-definable open sets of $\hat{V}$.

We are now in a position to state the Theorem of Hrushovski and Loeser (Theorem 10.1.1, [HL]) which we will use later.

Theorem 2.10. *Let V be a quasi projective variety over a non-archimedean valued field. Let $A \subset VF \cup \Gamma_\infty$ and $X \subset V \times \Gamma_\infty^l$ be an A-definable set. Then there exists an A-definable continuous deformation retraction*

$$H : I \times \hat{X} \to \hat{X}$$

whose image Z is definably homeomorphic to a definable subset of Γ_∞^w for some finite A-definable set w such that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. *Let R denote a finite collection of A-definable functions $\xi_i : V \to \Gamma_\infty$. Then every $\xi_i \in R$ extends to a pro-definable function $\xi_i : \hat{V} \to V$. We can choose H so that $\xi_i \circ H = \xi_i$. This implies that if one were to choose a finite number of subvarieties in X then the homotopy restricts to a homotopy of each of these sub varieties.*
2. *If G is a finite algebraic group acting on V such that it preserves X then the homotopy is equivariant for this action.*
3. *H satisfies the star property, namely*
$$H(e_I, H(t,x)) = H(e_I, x)$$
4. *H fixes the image of the homotopy.*
5. *When $X = V$ and $l = 0$, one may require that the image of the homotopy is Zariski dense in $\hat{V_i}$ for every irreducible component V_i of V.*
6. *Any point in the image, viewed as a stably dominated type has equal transcendence degree and residual transcendence degree. That is to say that if p, q were two elements of $\hat{X}$ contained in the image of the homotopy and $M \models ACVF$ which contains $A \cup VF$ and $A \cup \Gamma$ then if $c \models p_{|M}$ and $d \models q_{|M}$ then*
$$Degtr_M(M(c)) = Degtr_M(M(d))$$
and similarly
$$Degtr_{k(M)} k(M(c)) = Degtr_{k(M)} k(M(d))$$

Here $k(M)$ is used to denote the corresponding residue field of the model M.

2.2.1. *Restating Theorem 2.9 for Berkovich Spaces.* What follows in this subsection is a restatement of [13.1, [HL]]. Let F be a valued field such that its value group is a subset of $\mathbb{R}_\infty$ so that $(F, \Gamma(F))$ can be viewed as a substructure of $ACVF$ for the sorts VF and Γ.

Let V be a quasi projective variety and X be an F-definable subset of V. We define the Berkovich space $B_F(X)$ to be the set of types concentrating on the space X and almost orthogonal [2.4, [HL]] to it. We define an almost Γ-orthogonal type as follows.

Definition 2.11. If $C \subset \mathbb{U}$ and p is a C-type then we will say that p is *almost orthogonal to* Γ if for any realization a of p we have that $\Gamma(M(a)) = \Gamma(M)$.

The space $B_F(X)$ can be endowed with a topology. We proceed as when we defined a topology on $\hat{X}$. A pre-basic open subset of $B_F(X)$ is of the form $\{p \in B_F(X \cap O) | \mathrm{val}(f)_*(p) \in W\}$ where $O \subset V$ is an open subspace of V, f a regular function on O and W an open subset of Γ_∞.

If V is an F-variety then $B_F(X)$ is homeomorphic to the space V^{an} discussed previously. We now describe how $\hat{X}$ relates to the Berkovich space $B_F(X)$.

Let K be a maximally complete, algebraically closed field containing F having value group contained in $\mathbb{R}$ and let $p \in \hat{X}(K)$. Then $p_{|K}$ is a K-type, and the formulas in p whose parameters lie in F will define an almost Γ-orthogonal $\mathbf{F}$-type i.e. an element of the Berkovich space $B_F(X)$. We have thus defined a map

$$\eta : \hat{X}(K) \to B_F(X).$$

Proposition 2.12. *(13.1.1,*[HL]*) If X is an $\mathbf{F}$-definable subset of an algebraic variety then the morphism $\eta : \hat{X}(K) \to B_F(X)$ is surjective.*

Although Theorem 2.9 concerns itself with the space $\hat{X}$, it can be deduced that the homotopy constructed induces a homotopy on the Berkovich space $B_F(X)$ of almost Γ-orthogonal F-types such that the image of this retraction is the space $Z(F)$ (Section 13, [HL]). Furthermore, (1) (which is the only condition we will use) of the above result is also fulfilled by the induced deformation retraction. To be precise, we will formulate a version of Theorem 2.9 for the space $B_F(X)$ but Specialise X only to the case we will require.

Proposition 2.13. *Let V be a quasi-projective variety over a non-archimedean valued field F. Then there exists an F-definable continuous deformation retraction*

$$H : I \times B_F(V) \to B_F(V)$$

whose image Z is definably homeomorphic to a finite simplicial complex.

(1) *Let $A \subset VF \times \Gamma_\infty^l$. Given a finite number of A-definable functions $\xi_i : V \to \Gamma_\infty$, we can choose H so that it satisfies each of the ξ_i. This implies that if one were to choose a finite number of subvarieties in V then the homotopy restricts to a homotopy of each of the analytifications of these subvarieties.*

3. A Technical result

In this section, we prove a result which we will make use of in Section 4. The general background is as follows. Let L/k be a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension. Let $A := L[T_1, .., T_n]$ and I_1 be an ideal in this polynomial algebra such that $C := A/I_1$ is an integral domain. Let D be a finite C-algebra which is also an integral domain. Hence $D = A[S_1, .., S_m]/\langle I_1, I_2\rangle$ where I_2 is an ideal in the polynomial algebra $B := L[T_1, ..T_n, S_1, ...S_m]$. Let ϕ denote the finite morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(D) \to \mathrm{Spec}(C)$. It induces a finite surjective morphism $\phi^{\mathrm{an}} : (\mathrm{Spec}(D))^{\mathrm{an}} \to (\mathrm{Spec}(C))^{\mathrm{an}}$. Let $\mathcal{C} := L\{T_1, .., T_n\}/I_1$. The strict affinoid space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$ is an affinoid domain in $(\mathrm{Spec}(C))^{\mathrm{an}}$. By (2.1.8, 2.1.9, [B3]), the finiteness of the morphism ϕ^{an} implies that $\mathcal{C}[S_1, ...S_m]/I_2$ is a strict affinoid algebra and

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}[S_1, ...S_m]/I_2).$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the affinoid algebra $\mathcal{C}[S_1, ...S_m]/I_2 = L\{T_1, .., T_n, S_1, ..., S_m\}/\langle I_1, I_2\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{A} := L\{T_1, ..., T_n\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := L\{T_1, ..., T_n, S_1, ..., S_m\}$.

From (6.1.4, [B]) we know that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ are simply connected and the affinoid spaces $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ which are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ respectively are pathwise connected.

Let x be an L-point of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$. That is to say, x lies in the image of the injection $\mathrm{Spec}(C)(L) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Spec}(C))^{\mathrm{an}}$. Let W denote the Berkovich open ball around x of polyradius $(r_1, ..., r_n)$ where the r_i are positive real numbers less than or equal to 1 contained in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$. Clearly, $W \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$ is an open neighbourhood of the point x in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$. The following proposition concerns itself with the nature of the preimage $\phi^{-1}(W \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}))$.

Proposition 3.1. *The connected components of* $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(W \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}))$ *must be of the form* $V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ *where* V_{y_i} *is a Berkovich open ball in* $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ *centred at* $y_i \in \phi^{-1}(x)$.

Proof. The Berkovich open ball $W \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ has polyradius $(r_1, ..., r_n)$. The following argument allows us to reduce to the case when $r_i \in |L^*|$ for every i.

Let us assume that the proposition holds true when $r_i \in |L^*|$. Suppose then that for some i, $r_i \notin |L^*|$. Let L'/L be a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension such that $r_i \in |L'^*|$ for every i. Let $\mathcal{C}_{L'} := \mathcal{C}\hat{\otimes}_L L'$, $\mathcal{D}_{L'} := \mathcal{D}\hat{\otimes}_L L'$ and $\mathcal{A}_{L'} := \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}_L L'$. There exists exactly one point in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}_{L'})$ on the fiber over x which we call x as well. Let $W_{L'}$ denote the Berkovich open ball in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_{L'})$ around x of polyradius $(r_1, .., r_n)$.

Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_{L'}) \arrow[r, "\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'}"'] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}_{L'}) \arrow[d, "p"] \\
\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, "\phi^{\mathrm{an}}"'] & \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

The projections in the figure above are surjective and open morphisms. The morphism ϕ is a finite L-morphism and L is algebraically closed. It follows that if $y_i \in \phi^{-1}(x)$ then $y_i \in \mathrm{Spec}(L[S_1, ..., S_m]/I_2)(L)$. Hence for every such $y_i \in \phi^{-1}(x)$ there exists exactly one point in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_{L'})$ on the fiber over y_i which we call y_i as well and

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(x) = (\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(x) = \phi^{-1}(x).$$

Our assumption above implies that

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(W_{L'} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}_{L'})) = \cup_{y_i \in (\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(x)} V_{y_i L'} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_{L'})$$

where the $V_{y_i L'}$ are Berkovich open balls in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{L'})$ centred at the $y_i \in (\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(x)$.

It can be checked that the preimage of the open neighbourhood $W \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$ for the projection p is the open neighbourhood $W_{L'} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}_{L'})$. Furthermore, it can be verified that for any i, the image of the open nighbourhood $V_{y_i L'} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_{L'})$ for the projection p is of the form $V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ where V_{y_i} is a Berkovich open ball of the same polyradius as $V_{y_i L'}$ around y_i. From the commutative diagram above we have the equality

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(W \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})) = \cup_{y_i \in (\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(x)} V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}).$$

The $V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ are connected but not necessarily distinct. However if for some i, j, $V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}) \neq V_{y_j} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$ then they are also disjoint.

We now prove the proposition when the Berkovich open ball $W \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ has polyradius $(r_1, ..., r_n)$ such that for every i, $r_i \in |L^*|$. We assume without loss of generality that the point $x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined by the equations $\langle T_i = 0 \rangle_i$. Let $\mathcal{C}' := L\{r_1^{-1}T_1, ..., r_n^{-1}T_n\}/I_1$. Observe that the affinoid space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')$ is the intersection of the Berkovich closed disk centred at x in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of polyradius $(r_1, .., r_n)$ and $(\mathrm{Spec}(C))^{\mathrm{an}}$. Let $\mathcal{D}' := \mathcal{C}'[S_1, .., S_m]/I_2$. By (2.1.8, 2.1.9, [B3]), $\mathcal{D}'$ is a strict L-affinoid algebra since it is a finite $\mathcal{C}'$-algebra. Furthermore,

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}').$$

Let $s_i := ||S_i||_{\mathcal{D}'}$. Then $s_i \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{D}' = L\{r_1^{-1}T_1, ..., r_n^{-1}T_n, s_1^{-1}S_1, .., s_m^{-1}S_m\}/\langle I_1, I_2 \rangle$. We make use of the reduction morphism discussed previously in Section 2.1.1. Let $\tilde{L}$ denote the residue field of L. Recall that associated to the strict L-affinoid space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')$ is an affine $\tilde{L}$-scheme of finite type which we denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')}$ and a reduction morphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}') \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')}$. The $\tilde{L}$-scheme $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')}$ is in fact $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}')$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}'$ is the residue algebra associated to $\mathcal{C}'$. The image of the L-point $x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')$ via the reduction map is an $\tilde{L}$-point of the $\tilde{L}$-scheme $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')}$. It can be checked that $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$ is the open neighbourhood $W \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')$ of x.

The finite morphism of affinoid algebras $\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{D}'$ induces a finite morphism between their respective residue algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}' \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'$ and hence a finite, surjective morphism $\tilde{\phi} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')}$. Finiteness follows from the finiteness of the morphism $\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{D}'$. Surjectivity can be seen by noting that the set of preimages of the generic points of the scheme $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')}$ for the reduction morphism is exactly the shilov boundary of the affinoid space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')$. Using the following commutative diagram and the fact that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}') \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')$ is surjective will prove that $\widetilde{\phi^{\mathrm{an}}}$ is surjective.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}') \arrow[r, "\phi^{\mathrm{an}}"'] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}') \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\phi^{\mathrm{an}}}"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}')}
\end{tikzcd}$$

As before, let $\phi^{-1}(x) = \{y_1, ...y_k\}$. The $\{y_i\}_i$ are L-points of the affinoid space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')$ and their images in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')}$ are $\tilde{L}$-points which map to $\pi(x)$ via $\widetilde{\phi^{\mathrm{an}}}$. From the commutative diagram above, we have the following equality

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))) = \bigcup_i \pi^{-1}(\pi(y_i)).$$

Restated this means $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(W) = \bigcup_i \pi^{-1}(\pi(y_i))$. Using the equality

$$\mathcal{D}' = L\{r_1^{-1}T_1, ..., r_n^{-1}T_n, s_1^{-1}S_1, .., s_m^{-1}S_m\}/\langle I_1, I_2 \rangle$$

it can be verfied that for any i, $\pi^{-1}(\pi(y_i))$ is an open set of the form $V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')$, where V_{y_i} is the Berkovich open ball around y_i of polyradius $(r_1, .., r_n, s_1, ..., s_m)$ in $(\mathrm{Spec}(B))^{\mathrm{an}}$. Note that the V_{y_i} need not be distinct for different i. However if for some i, j, $V_{y_i} \neq V_{y_j}$ then they are also disjoint. For any two points $a, b \in V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')$, it is possible to find an affinoid subspace of $V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')$ containing both a, b and of the form $J \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')$ where J is a Berkovich closed disk in $(\mathrm{Spec}(B))^{\mathrm{an}}$. Using (6.1.4, [B]), there exists a path connecting a and b contained in $V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')$ thus implying that $V_{y_i} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}')$ is connected. □

4. Proof of the Main Theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. Let V and V' be irreducible, projective k-varieties and ϕ be a finite morphism from $V' \to V$. The morphism ϕ induces a morphism between the respective analytifications. Hence we have

$$\phi^{\mathrm{an}} : V'^{\mathrm{an}} \to V^{\mathrm{an}}.$$

In the introduction we introduced a class of functions from $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{(n+1)^2}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which we denoted S. By definition, $g \in S$ if and only if

1. If $(r_{i,j})_{i,j}$ and $(s_{i,j})_{i,j}$ are $(n+1)^2$-tuples such that $r_{i,j} \leq s_{i,j}$ then $g((r_{i,j})_{i,j}) \leq g((s_{i,j})_{i,j})$.
2. g is a definable function (in the model theoretic sense).

The statement of Theorem 1.3 is as follows.

Theorem 1.3: *Let $\phi : V' \to V$ be a finite morphism between irreducible, projective varieties. Let $g \in S$. There exists a real interval $I := [i, e]$ and a deformation retraction*

$$\psi : I \times V^{\mathrm{an}} \to V^{\mathrm{an}}$$

which satisfies the following properties.

1. *The image $\psi(e, V^{\mathrm{an}})$ of the deformation retraction ψ is a finite simplicial complex. Let Υ_g denote this finite simplicial complex.*
2. *There exists a well defined function $M_g : \Upsilon_g \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which satisfies the following conditions. The function M_g is not identically zero. Let $\gamma \in \Upsilon_g$ be a point on the finite simplicial complex for which $M_g(\gamma) \neq 0$ and $x \in \psi(e, \_)^{-1}(\gamma)$. Let L/k be any complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension such that $x \in V^{\mathrm{an}}(L)$. There exists $W_{x_L} \in (g \circ h_L)^{-1}(M_g(\gamma)) \cap \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ such that the open set $(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(W_{x_L}) \subset V_L'^{\mathrm{an}}$ decomposes into the disjoint union of open sets, each homeomorphic to W_{x_L} via ϕ_L^{an}. Furthermore, let $O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ be such that its preimage under ϕ_L^{an} decomposes into the disjoint union of open sets in $V_L'^{\mathrm{an}}$, each homeomorphic to O via the morphism ϕ_L^{an}. Then $(g \circ h_L)(O) \leq M_g(\gamma)$.*

We provide a sketch of the proof before formalizing it. Since V is projective, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an embedding $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$. By definition, $\mathbb{P}_k^n = \mathrm{proj}(k[T_0, ..., T_{n+1}])$. This identification allows us to define an open affine cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^n$. Let $R_i := k[T_0/T_i, ..., T_{n+1}/T_i]$ and $U_i = \mathrm{Spec}(R_i)$. We then have that $\mathbb{P}_k^n = \cup_i U_i$. We identify V with its image in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ making $\{U_i \cap V\}_i$ an affine open cover of V. Let I_i be that ideal in the polynomial algebra R_i such that $U_i \cap V = \mathrm{Spec}(R_i/I_i)$. We set $P_i := R_i/I_i$. The embedding $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$ induces an embedding $V^{\mathrm{an}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{n,\mathrm{an}}$. In Section 2 we used this embedding to construct a formal cover of the analytic space V^{an}, namely the collection $\{A_j \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}\}_j$. Recalling our notation from Section 2 explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_j &:= \{x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{n,\mathrm{an}} || T_i(x)| \leq |T_j(x)|\}\\ \mathcal{B}_j &:= k\{T_1/T_j, ..T_{n+1}/T_j\}\\ \mathcal{B}_{ji} &:= k\{T_1/T_j, ., T_i/T_j, (T_i/T_j)^{-1}, ., T_{n+1}/T_j\}\\ A_j &= \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_j)\\ A_j \cap A_i &= \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{ji}).\end{aligned}$$

Observe that for every j, A_j is an affinoid domain of the analytic space U_j^{an}. Since the morphism ϕ is finite, the preimage of every element of the open affine cover $\{U_i \cap V\}_i$ is an affine open subspace of the variety V'. Let $U'_i := \phi^{-1}(U_i \cap V)$. Since $\phi_{|U'_i} : U'_i \to U_i \cap V$ is a finite morphism, there exists $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and an ideal I'_i in the algebra $R_i[S_{i1}, ...S_{im_i}]$ such that $R_i[S_{i1}, .., S_{im_i}]/\langle I_i, I'_i\rangle$ is the finite $P_i = R_i/I_i$ algebra for which $U'_i = \mathrm{Spec}(R_i[S_{i1}, .., S_{im_i}]/\langle I_i, I'_i\rangle)$. The finiteness of the morphism ϕ^{an} implies that the preimage $A'_i := (\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(A_i \cap V^{\mathrm{an}})$ is a strict affinoid space. Furthermore by (2.1.8, 2.1.9, [B3]), $\mathcal{B}'_i := \mathcal{B}_i[S_{i1}, .., S_{im_i}]/\langle I'_i, I_i\rangle$ is a strict affinoid algebra and $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(A_i \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_i)$. We make these simplifications to employ Proposition 3.1.

Let L/k be a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension. The objects discussed above, be they ringed spaces or algebras, were all defined over k. In what follows we will suffix any such object with 'L' to denote an extension of scalars by L. For example, $A_{i,L} = A_i \times_k L$.

Let x be an L-point in V^{an} such that x_L belongs to $A_{i,L} \cap V_L^{\mathrm{an}}$. In Section 2.1.3 we defined a family of open neighbourhoods of x_L which we denoted $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$. By definition, each member of $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ will be contained in $A_{i,L}$. Hence the preimage of each member of this family will be an open subspace of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})$. Via the function h_L, to each open set in $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ we associated an $(n+1)^2$-tuple of positive real numbers which quantifies its size. Let $\mathcal{G}_{x_L}$ denote the sub collection of open sets in $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ such that if $W \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}$ then $(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(W)$ is the disjoint union of open sets in V'^{an} each homeomorphic to W. Lemma 4.1 will show

(1) If $x \in V(L)$ and L'/L is a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension then $\mathcal{G}_{x_{L'}} = \{G \times_L L' | G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}\}$.
(2) The set $\{h_L(G) | G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}$ is definable.

Let $g \in S$ where S is as defined above. For any $x \in V(L)$, let $g_{sup}(x)$ denote the supremum of the set $\{(g \circ h_L)(W) | W \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}\}$ when $\mathcal{G}_{x_L}$ is non-empty and let it be 0 otherwise. By Lemma 4.1, g_{sup} is a well defined, definable function from $V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. It follows that the function g_{sup} extends to a definable function $V^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Applying Theorem 2.12 will prove Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x \in V(L)$ and L'/k and L/k be complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extensions such that $L \subseteq L'$. Then the following hold.*

(1) $\mathcal{G}_{x_{L'}} = \{G \times_L L' | G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}\}$.
(2) *The set $\{h_L(G) | G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}$ is definable.*

Proof. (1) Let the point $x \in V(L)$ be such that $x_L \in V_L^{\mathrm{an}} \cap A_i$. By Lemma 2.8, it suffices to show that $G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}$ if and only if $G \times_L L' \in \mathcal{G}_{x_{L'}}$. To do so we make use of the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L'}) \arrow[r, "\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'}"'] \arrow[d, "p"] & A_{i,L'} \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'} \arrow[d, "p"] \\
\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L}) \arrow[r, "\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L}"'] & A_{i,L} \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}_{L}
\end{tikzcd}$$

.

The vertical arrows denoted by p are the projections $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{i,L}) \times_L L' \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{i,L})$ and $(A_{i,L} \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}_L) \times_L L' \to A_{i,L} \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}_L$. The projections are both surjective open morphisms. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}$. Since $x_L \in V_L(L)$, there exists exactly one point on the fiber over the point x_L and $(x_L)_{L'} = x_{L'}$. Likewise, if $y_{t,L} \in (\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_L)^{-1}(x_L)$ then there exists exactly one point on the fiber over $y_{t,L}$ for the projection p, namely $y_{t,L'}$.

Let $p^{-1}(G) = G \times_L L' \subset A_{i,L'} \cap V^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'}$ be such that $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(G \times_L L')$ decomposes into the disjoint union of homeomorphic copies of $G \times_L L'$ via the morphism $\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'}$. Then by Proposition 3.1,

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(G \times_L L') = \cup_{y_{t,L'} \in (\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(x_{L'})}(V_{y_{t,L'}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L'}))$$

where $V_{y_{t,L'}}$ is a Berkovich open ball centred at $y_{t,L'}$ and contained in the Berkovich closed disk $\mathcal{M}(L'\{T_0/T_i, ..., T_{n+1}/T_i, S_1, .., S_{m_i}\})$. The image of $V_{y_{t,L'}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L'})$ for the projection morphism p is the space $V_{y_{t,L}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{i,L})$, where $V_{y_{t,L}}$ is a Berkovich open ball centred at $y_{t,L}$ contained in $\mathcal{M}(L\{T_0/T_i, ..., T_{n+1}/T_i, S_1, .., S_{m_i}\})$ having the same polyradius as $V_{y_{t,L'}}$. From the diagram, it can be inferred that the restriction $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_L)_{|(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_L)^{-1}(G)}$ is open and continuous. It remains to show that for any $y_{t,L}$, the restriction $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_L)_{|V_{y_{t,L}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})}$ is bijective onto G. Firstly, note that the spaces $V_{y_{t,L}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})$ and G are defined by a finite number of formulae. Observe that we need only show that for every algebraically closed complete non-archimedean valued extension M/L the morphism $\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_L(M) : (V_{y_{t,L}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L}))(M) \to G(M)$ is bijective. This statement is equivalent to a sentence in the theory ACVF. It can be checked that the sentence holds true for the field L. Since the theory ACVF is complete, it follows that the sentence is true for every model of this theory.

Similarly, let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}$. We will show that $G \times_L L' \in \mathcal{G}_{x_{L'}}$. We borrow all our notation from the previous case. Once again we have

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(G \times_L L') = \cup_{y_{t,L'} \in (\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})^{-1}(x_{L'})}(V_{y_{t,L'}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L'})).$$

Using arguments identical to the previous case, we need only show that for any $y_{t,L'}$ the restriction $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})_{|V_{y_{t,L'}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L'})}$ is bijective onto $G \times_L L'$ to conclude a proof of the first part of the lemma. This can be accomplished by observing that for the field L', the morphism $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{L'})_{|(V_{y_{t,L'}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L'}))(L')}$ is bijective onto $G \times_L L'(L')$ and using the completeness of the theory ACVF.

(2) Let the point $x \in V(L)$ be such that $x_L \in V^{\mathrm{an}}_L \cap A_{i,L}$. In our sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.3, we introduced the affine cover $U_{i,L} \cap V_L$ of V_L and called attention to the fact that $A_{i,L} \subset U^{\mathrm{an}}_{i,L}$. The morphism ϕ_L restricts to a morphism $\phi_L : U'_{i,L} \to U_{i,L} \cap V_L$ where the affine L-scheme $U'_{i,L}$ is of the form $\mathrm{Spec}(L[T_0/T_i, ..., T_{n+1}/T_i, S_{i1}, .., S_{im_i}]/\langle I_{i,L}, I'_{i,L}\rangle)$. As outlined in

the introduction to this section the preimage $A'_{i,L} := (\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(A_{i,L} \cap V_L^{\mathrm{an}})$ will be an affinoid domain in the space $U'^{\mathrm{an}}_{i,L}$. Let $\{y_{1,L}, .., y_{t,L}\}$ denote the collection of preimages of the point x_L for the morphism ϕ_L^{an}. Since L is algebraically closed, the $y_{t,L}$ are all defined over the field L.

Recall that if $G \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ then by Proposition 3.1.

$$(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(G) = \cup_{y_{t,L} \in (\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(x_L)} (V_{y_{t,L}} \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})) \tag{2}$$

where $V_{y_{t,L}}$ is a Berkovich open ball centred at $y_{t,L}$ and contained in the Berkovich closed disk $\mathcal{M}(L\{T_0/T_i, ..., T_{n+1}/T_i, S_1, .., S_{m_i}\})$. Every element of $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ is contained in the affinoid space $A_{i,L}$. By definition, every element of $\mathcal{O}_{x_L}$ is equal to the intersection of a Berkovich open ball around x_L contained in $A_{i,L}$ and the space V_L^{an}.

Let $O \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$. The $(n+1)^2$-tuple $h_L(O) = (\mathbf{r}_j)_j$ is dependent entirely on its i-th component, namely $\mathbf{r}_i$. Let $\mathbf{r}_i = (r_1, ..., r_{n+1})$. By definition, $r_i = 1$ and the n-tuple $(r_1, .., r_{i-1}, r_{i+1}, ., r_{n+1})$ is the polyradius of a Berkovich open ball around x_L in $A_{i,L}$ such that its intersection with V_L^{an} is O. Using equation (2), observe that an element O will lie in $\mathcal{G}_{x_L}$ if and only if $\mathbf{r}_i$ satisfies each of the following conditions.

(a) For every j, r_j is a positive real number less than or equal to 1.

(b) If $\mathbf{s}_y$ is an $n+1+m_i$ tuple of real numbers then we use $B(y, \mathbf{s}_y)$ to denote the Berkovich open ball around y of polyradius $\mathbf{s}_y$ contained in $\mathcal{M}(L\{T_1/T_i, .., T_{n+1}/T_i, S_{i1}, .., S_{im_i}\})$. For every $y_{t,L} \in \phi^{-1}(x_L)$ there exists $\mathbf{s}_{y_{t,L}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1+m_i}$ such that the restriction of ϕ_L^{an} to $B(y_{t,L}, \mathbf{s}_{y_{t,L}}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})$ is bijective onto $B(x_L, \mathbf{r}_i) \cap V_L^{\mathrm{an}}$.

(c) Every open subset in the preimage $(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(B(x_L, \mathbf{r}_i) \cap V_L^{\mathrm{an}})$ must map to an open subspace of $B(x_L, \mathbf{r}_i) \cap V_L^{\mathrm{an}}$ via ϕ_L^{an}.

The first condition defines a definable subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1^2}$. We can show that condition (b) is definable by noting that in order for the restriction $\phi_{|B(y_{t,L}, \mathbf{s}_{y_{t,L}}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})}$ to be surjective onto $B(x_L, \mathbf{r}_i) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{i,L}/I_{i,L})$, we must have that for every element $z \in B(x_L, \mathbf{r}_i) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_{i,L}/I_{i,L})$, there exists $z' \in B(y_{t,L}, \mathbf{s}_{y_{t,L}}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})$ such that $\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}}(z') = z$. Similarly, the restriction $(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})_{|B(y_{t,L}, \mathbf{s}_{y_{t,L}}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})}$ will be injective if for every $z'_1, z'_2 \in B(y_{t,L}, \mathbf{s}_{y_{t,L}}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}'_{i,L})$, $\phi(z'_1) = \phi(z'_2)$ implies $z'_1 = z'_2$.

To show that condition (c) describes a definable subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1^2}$, we make use of the open sub basis for the analytification $U'^{\mathrm{an}}_{i,L}$ outlined in Section 2.1. An open basis for the $U'^{\mathrm{an}}_{i,L}$ will be defined by a finite number of rational functions belonging to $\mathcal{O}_{U'_{i,L}}(U'_{i,L})$. A similar description exists for the space $U^{\mathrm{an}}_{i,L}$. It follows that the statement - the morphism ϕ_L^{an} is open when restricted to $(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(B(x_L, \mathbf{r}_i) \cap V_L^{\mathrm{an}})$, can be expressed in a formula with free variables $(r_i)_i$.

Since the $n+1$ tuple completely determines the element $G \in \mathcal{O}_{x_L}$, it also determines the $(n+1)^2$-tuple $h_L(G) = (\mathbf{r}_j)_j$ completely. The $n+1$-tuples $\mathbf{r}_j$ are all definably expressible in terms of the $\mathbf{r}_i$. This shows that the set $\{h_L(G) | G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L}\}$ is indeed a definable subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1^2}$.

□

We now prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof. Our goal is to obtain a suitable definable function from $V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and apply Theorem 2.12 which guarantees the existence of a finite simplicial complex along with a deformation retraction onto it so that the function is constant on the fibers of the retraction. It is with this purpose in mind that we showed that L/k is a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension and $x \in V(L)$ then the set $\{h_L(G) | G \in \mathcal{G}_{x_L})$ is a definable subset of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1^2}$.

We define $g_{sup} : V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ as follows. If $x \in V(L)$ is an L-point of the variety where L/k is a complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension then we let $g_{sup}(x)$ be the supremum of the definable set $(g \circ h_L)(\mathcal{G}_{x_L})$ and if $\mathcal{G}_{x_L}$ is empty then $g_{sup}(x) := 0$. Using Lemma 4.1 the function g_{sup} is well-defined and definable. Consequently, g_{sup} extends to the analytification V^{an}. To explicitly write down the extension $g_{\mathrm{sup}} : V^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we take note of the fact that the space V^{an} is also the space $B_k(V)$ (cf. Section 2.2.1). Let $x \in V^{\mathrm{an}}$. There exists an non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension L/k such that the image of x for the canonical morphism $\pi : V^{\mathrm{an}} \to V$ lies in $V(L)$. The element $\pi(x)$ is the realization of the type corresponding to x and it is rational over the extension L. We must then have $g_{\mathrm{sup}}(x) = g_{\mathrm{sup}}(\pi(x))$.

By Theorem 2.12, there exists a subset Υ_g of V^{an}, a real interval $I := [i, e]$ which is homeomorphic to a finite simplicial complex and a deformation retraction

$$\psi : I \times V^{\mathrm{an}} \to V^{\mathrm{an}}$$

with image Υ_g such that the function g_{sup} is constant along the fibers of the map $\psi(e, \_)$. By which we mean $g_{sup} \circ \psi(e, \_) = g_{sup}$. We define $M_g : \Upsilon_g \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ as follows. If $x \in V(L)$ retracts to $\lambda \in \Upsilon_g$ then we set $M_g(\lambda) := g_{sup}(x)$. Since $g_{sup} \circ \psi(e, \_) = g_{sup}$, the function M_g is well defined.

We now show that the function M_g is non-zero. In our sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.3, we introduced the affine cover $\{U_i \cap V\}_i$ of V and called attention to the fact that $A_i \subset U_i^{\mathrm{an}}$. The morphism ϕ restricts to a finite, surjective morphism $\phi : U_i' \to U_i \cap V$. Without loss of generality, we can assume U_i and U_i' are both reduced. As ϕ is a finite morphism between integral affine varieties, there exists an affine k-variety U_i'' and morphisms $\phi_{1,i} : U_i' \to U_i''$ and $\phi_{2,i} : U_i'' \to U_i \cap V$ such that $\phi_{1,i}$ is separable, $\phi_{2,i}$ is purely inseparable and $\phi_{|U_i'} = \phi_{2,i} \circ \phi_{1,i}$. Note that $\phi_{2,i}^{\mathrm{an}}$ is a homeomorphism. Let E_i denote the open subspace of U_i'' over which the morphism $\phi_{1,i}$ is étale. Then E_i is non-empty and open since $\phi_{1,i}$ is separable. It follows that there exists a point $x \in V(k) \cap U_i$ and a neighbourhood θ of this point which is open in $(V \cap U_i)^{\mathrm{an}}$ such that the morphism $\phi^{\mathrm{an}}_{|(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(\theta)}$ is locally a homeomorphism at every k point in $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(\theta)$. This statement relies on the fact that $\phi_{2,i}^{\mathrm{an}}$ is a homeomorphism and that we can choose θ so that $(\phi_{2,i}^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(\theta) \subset E_i^{\mathrm{an}}$ and $(\phi^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(\theta)$ is contained in the analytification of the non-empty smooth locus of U_i'. From this it can be seen the function M_g is indeed not equal to zero.

Let L/k be a complete, non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension. Let $z \in V^{\mathrm{an}}(L)$ retract to a point $\lambda \in \Upsilon_g$. If $M(\lambda) > 0$ then by definition $M(\lambda) = g_{sup}(z)$ and it can be checked that $(g \circ h_L)^{-1}(M(\lambda)) \cap \mathcal{G}_{z_L}$ is not empty. It follows that there exists $W_{z_L} \in (g \circ h_L)^{-1}(M(\lambda)) \cap \mathcal{O}_{z_L}$ such that $(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(W_{z_L})$ decomposes into the disjoint union of homeomorphic copies of W_{z_L}. Furthermore, if $W'_{z_L} \in \mathcal{O}_{z_L}$ is such that $(\phi_L^{\mathrm{an}})^{-1}(W'_{z_L})$ decomposes into homemorphic copies of W'_{z_L} then $g(W'_z) \leq g(W_{z_L})$. ☐

5. The Equivalence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In the introduction we announced that the goal of this article was to prove a generalization of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1: *Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be a finite morphism. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ and L/k be any complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension of k such that $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}(L)$. Let $f(x)$ be the minimum of* 1 *and the radius of the largest Berkovich open ball B around x_L whose preimage under ϕ_L^{an} is the disjoint union of* *homeomorphic copies of B via ϕ_L^{an}. The function $f : \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is well defined. There exists a finite simplicial complex $\Upsilon \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$, a real interval $I := [i, e]$ and a deformation retraction*

$$\psi : I \times \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$$

such that $\psi(e, \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}) = \Upsilon$ and the function f is constant on the fibers of this retraction.

To make the connection between Theorem 1.1 and its generalization clearer, we introduced Theorem 1.2 and claimed that it was equivalent to 1.1. Recalling the statement of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2: *Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be a finite morphism. There exists a real interval $I := [i, e]$ and a deformation retraction*

$$\psi : I \times \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$$

which satisfies the following properties.

1. *The image $\psi(e, \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}})$ of the deformation retraction ψ is a finite simplicial complex. Let Υ denote this finite simplicial complex.*
2. *There exists a well defined function $M : \Upsilon \to [0, 1]$ which satisfies the following conditions. Let $\gamma \in \Upsilon$ and $x \in \psi(e, \_)^{-1}(\gamma)$ be a point for which $M(\gamma) > 0$. Let L/k be any complete non-archimedean valued algebraically closed field extension such that $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}(L)$. Then the following are true.*
   - (a) *The preimage under the morphism ϕ_L^{an} of the Berkovich open ball $B(x_L, M(\gamma)) \subset \mathbb{P}_L^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ around x_L of radius $M(\gamma)$ decomposes into the disjoint union of Berkovich open balls each homeomorphic to $B(x_L, M(\gamma))$ via the morphism ϕ_L^{an}.*
   - (b) *Let O be any other Berkovich open ball around x_L whose radius is less than or equal to 1 such that its preimage under the morphism ϕ_L^{an} decomposes into the disjoint union of Berkovich open balls each homeomorphic to O via ϕ_L^{an}. Then the radius of O must be less than or equal to $M(\gamma)$.*

We now provide a short proof of the equivalence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \to \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be a finite morphism. Given such a morphism, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent.*

Proof. Let us assume that Theorem 1.1 is true. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ and L/k be a complete, algebraically closed, non-archimedean valued field extension of k such that $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}(L)$. By definition, $f(x)$ is the minimum of the radius of the largest Berkovich open ball around x_L whose preimage is the disjoint union of homeomorphic copies of the ball via the morphism ϕ_L^{an} and 1. The assumption that Theorem 1.1 is true implies that there exists a finite simplicial complex $\Upsilon \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ and a deformation retraction

$$\psi : I \times \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$$

with image Υ such that the function f is constant on the fibers of this retraction. We define $M : \Upsilon \to [0, 1]$ as follows. Let $\gamma \in \Upsilon$. Pick any $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$ which retracts to γ and set $M(\gamma) := f(x)$. Since the function f is constant along the fibers of the retraction, M is well defined. It can be checked that the existence of the simplicial complex Υ, the deformation retraction ψ and the function $M : \Upsilon \to [0, 1]$ imply that Theorem 1.2 is true.

We now assume Theorem 1.2 and show 1.1 is true. By assumption, there exists a finite, simplicial complex $\Upsilon \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$, a retraction

$$\psi : I \times \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}$$

with image Υ and a function $M : \Upsilon \to [0,1]$ such that if $x \in \psi(e, \_)^{-1}(\gamma)$ where $M(\gamma) > 0$ and L/k is a complete, algebraically closed, non-archimedean valued field extension of k such that $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^{1,\mathrm{an}}(L)$ then the Berkovich open ball around x_L of radius $M(\gamma)$ decomposes into the disjoint union of Berkovich open balls each homeomorphic to it. Furthermore, if O is any other Berkovich open ball around x_L whose radius is less than or equal to 1 such that its preimage for the morphism ϕ_L^{an} decomposes into the disjoint union of homeomorphic copies of the ball then its radius is less than or equal to $M(\gamma)$. If $\psi(e,x) = \gamma$ then it is clear that $f(x) = M(\gamma)$. Hence the function f is constant along the fibers of the retraction morphism $\psi(e, \_)$ and thus proving theorem 1.1. ☐

References

[Ba] Baker. M, Rumely. R, *Potential Theory and Dynamics on the Berkovich Projective Line*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 2010, volume 159.

[Bal] Baldassarri. F, *Continuity of the Radius of Convergence of Differential Equations on p-adic Analytic Curves*, arXiv:0809.2479v6

[B] Berkovich. V, *Spectral Theory and Analytic Geometry over Non-Archimedean Fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Number 33, 1990.

[B2] Berkovich. V, *Etale Cohomology for Non-Archimidean Analytic Spaces*, pg's 5 - 161, Publ. Math. IHES (78), 1993.

[B3] Berkovich. V, *Lectures at the Advanced school on p-adic analysis and application, ICTP, Trieste*, 31st Aug - 11th Sept, 2009.

[H] Hartshorne. R, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics (52).

[HL] Hrushovski. E, Loeser. F, *Non Archimidean Tame Topology And Stably Dominated Types*, arXiv:1009.0252v2.

[L] Liu. Q, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 2002.

[M] Matsumura. H, *Commutative Algebra*, 2nd Edition (1980).

[P] Pillay. A,*Model theory and stability theory, with applications in differential algebra and algebraic geometry*, in Model theory and Applications to Algebra and Analysis, volume 1, LMS Lecture Notes Series 349, 2008 (edited by Chatzidakis, Macpherson, Pillay, Wilkie), 1–23. See also Lecture notes on Model Theory, Stability Theory, Applied Stability theory, on http://www.maths.leeds.ac.uk/∼pillay.

[PP] Poineau. J, Pulita. A, *Continuity and Finiteness of the Radius of Convergence of a p-adic Differential Equation via Potential Theory*, arXiv: 1209 6276v1.

Address : John Welliaveetil, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, UMR 7586 du CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France.
E-mail address : welliaveetil@gmail.com